### Atotech Canada Inc. - Burlington Facility

#### **Toxics Reduction Public Summary Report**

acility	Info	rma	tion
acility	, ,,,,,	лина	LIOI

Company Name	Atotech Canada Inc	
Facility Name	Burlington Facility	
Facility Physical Address	1180 Corporate Drive, Burlington, ON L7L 5R6	
Facility Mailing Address	1180 Corporate Drive, Burlington, ON L7L 5R6	
Spatial Coordinates of Facility	Latitude: 43.3793 Longitude: -79.7815	
Number of Employees	47	
NPRI ID	1109	
2 Digit NAICS Code	32	
4 Digit NAICS Code	3259	
6 Digit NAICS Code	325999	

Parent Company Information

Parent Company Name	Atotech BV
Address	Strijkviertel 35-2 De Meern, 3454 PJ Netherland
Percent Ownership (if available)	100%

Facility Contact

Public Contact	Susan Guida	Susan Guida		
Position	Site Director			
Address	1180 Corporate Drive, Burlington, ON L7L 5R6			
email	sue.guida@atotech.com	sue.guida@atotech.com		
Phone	289-288-4440	289-288-4440		
Fax	905-332-0841			

Date of Summary

Reporting Year 2015 Summary Date 2015 June 1, 2016

#### Phase 1 Toxics Substances Reported

Nickel, Hexavalent Chromium, Total Phosphorous.

#### Phase 2 Toxics Substances Reported

PM10, PM2.5

#### Copy of Certification:

As of June 1, 2016, I certify that I have read the report on the toxic substance reduction plan(s) for the toxics listed above and am familiar with their contents and to my knowledge the information contained in the report(s) is factually accurate and complies with the Toxics Reduction Act, 2009 and Ontario Regulation 455/09 (General) made under that Act.

Susan Guda

The original version of this report is signed off by:

Highest Ranking Employee: Susan Guida

Title: Site Director

Substance	Information	and Plan	Objective

Substance Name	NICKEL (AND ITS COMPOUNDS EXCEPT NICKEL CARBONYL)
CAS#	7440-02-0
Report Date:	30-May-16
Plan Date:	31-Dec-12
Plan Objectives and Targets	We continue to strive to eliminate or reduce the use of toxic substances at the facility where possible. This plan was used to determine the technical and economic feasibility of each reduction option to determine which, if any, are viable for implementation at this time. Preparation of this plan and efforts to implement will contribute to the prevention of pollution and specifically to protection of the health of Ontarians and the local environment.

#### Toxics Substance Accounting and Comparison

Pathways	2014	2015	Delta	Delta %
Units reported	tonnes	tonnes		
U - Enters the Process (Raw Materials)	>10 to 100	>10 to 100	> -1 to -10	-39%
C - Created	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA
P - In a product that leaves the process	>10 to 100	>10 to 100	> -1 to -10	-39%
Summary of reasons for changes between current year and previous year.	Decreased product	ion levels		

On-site releases from the facility to air, water and land, as well as on and off-site disposal and off-site recycling can be viewed by searching for this facility at http://www.ec.gc.ca/inrp-npri/default.asp?lang=en

Summary of steps taken during the previous calendar year to implement the plan and a summary of the toxics reductions achieved as a result of the steps taken.	None - All options implemented and quantified where feasible
Summary of the toxics reductions achieved as a result of the steps taken	None - All options implemented and quantified where feasible
Summary of additional actions taken during the previous calendar year that impacted the toxic, and a summary of the steps and toxics reductions achieved as a result of those actions.	None - All options implemented and quantified where feasible
Summary of differences between steps taken and those set out in the plan.	None
Description of amendments to the plan.	None

Substance	Information and	Plan	Objective	

lation and Fian Objective	
Substance Name	CHROMIUM (VI) COMPOUNDS
CAS#	18540-29-9
Report Date:	30-May-16
Plan Date:	31-Dec-12
Plan Objectives and Targets	We continue to strive to eliminate or reduce the use of toxic substances at the facility where possible. This plan was used to determine the technical and economic feasibility of each reduction option to determine which, if any, are viable for implementation at this time. Preparation of this plan and efforts to implement will contribute to the prevention of pollution and specifically to protection of the health of Ontarians and the local environment.

Toxics Substance Accounting and Comparison

Pathways	2014	2015	Delta	Delta %
Units reported	tonnes	tonnes		
U - Enters the Process (Raw Materials)	>100 to 1000	>100 to 1000	> -10 to -100	-29%
C - Created	0	0	0	NA
P - In a product that leaves the process	>100 to 1000	>100 to 1000	> -10 to -100	-28%
Summary of reasons for changes between current year and previous year.	Decreased product	ion levels		

On-site releases from the facility to air, water and land, as well as on and off-site disposal and off-site recycling can be viewed by searching for this facility at http://www.ec.gc.ca/inrp-npri/default.asp?lang=en

Summary of steps taken during the previous calendar year to mplement the plan and a summary of the toxics reductions achieved as a result of the steps taken.	None - All options implemented and quantified where feasible
Summary of the toxics reductions achieved as a result of the steps taken	None - All options implemented and quantified where feasible
Summary of additional actions taken during the previous calendar year that impacted the toxic, and a summary of the steps and toxics reductions achieved as a result of those actions.	Upgrade to Chrome blending Scrubber (Source ID S5). Reduction of hexavalent chromium emissions not quantifiable.
Summary of differences between steps taken and those set out in the plan.	Not quantifiable
Description of amendments to the plan.	None

Substance Information and F	Plan Objective
-----------------------------	----------------

Substance Name	Phosphorus, Total
CAS#	
Report Date:	30-May-16
Plan Date:	30-Dec-13
Plan Objectives and Targets	The facility's intent is to reduce the use of toxics substances, specifically phosphorus at the facility. Reduction options and implementation options will be achieved through process modifications, spill and leak prevention intiatives, procedural improvements, improved inventory management program and employee education and training.

Toxics Substance Accounting and Comparison

Pathways	2014	2015	Delta	Delta %
Units reported	tonnes	tonnes		
U - Enters the Process (Raw Materials)	>10 to 100	>10 to 100	> -1 to -10	-23%
C - Created	0	0	0	NA
P - In a product that leaves the process	>10 to 100	>10 to 100	> -1 to -10	-23%
Summary of reasons for changes between current year and previous year.	Decreased productio	n levels		

On-site releases from the facility to air, water and land, as well as on and off-site disposal and off-site recycling can be viewed by searching for this facility at http://www.ec.gc.ca/inrp-npri/default.asp?lang=en

Summary of steps taken during the previous calendar year to implement the plan and a summary of the toxics reductions achieved as a result of the steps taken.	None - All options implemented and quantified where feasible
Summary of additional actions taken during the previous calendar year that impacted the toxic, and a summary of the steps and toxics reductions achieved as a result of those actions.	None - All options implemented and quantified where feasible
Summary of differences between steps taken and those set out in the plan.	None
Description of amendments to the plan.	None

Substance	Information and	d Plan	Objective	

Substance Name	PM10 - PARTICULATE MATTER <=10MICRONS		
CAS#			
Report Date:	30-May-16		
Plan Date:	1-Dec-13		
Plan Objectives and Targets	The facility does not intend to implement a reduction option for particulate matter (PM10). Atotech will continue to investigate process efficiencies and continuous improvement efforts through management system objectives, business initatives and production requirements in an effort to reduce the creation of PM10 in the future.		

Toxics Substance Accounting and Comparison

Pathways	2014	2015	Delta	Delta %
Units reported	tonnes	tonnes		
U - Enters the Process (Raw Materials)	0	0	0	NA
C - Created	>0 to 1	>0 to 1	>0 to -1	-1%
P - In a product that leaves the process	0	0	0	NA
Summary of reasons for changes between current year and previous year.	Insignificant			

On-site releases from the facility to air, water and land, as well as on and off-site disposal and off-site recycling can be viewed by searching for this facility at http://www.ec.gc.ca/inrp-npri/default.asp?lang=en

Summary of steps taken during the previous calendar year to implement the plan and a summary of the toxics reductions achieved as a result of the steps taken.	NA
Summary of additional actions taken during the previous calendar year that impacted the toxic, and a summary of the steps and toxics reductions achieved as a result of those actions.	NA
Summary of differences between steps taken and those set out in the plan.	NA
Description of amendments to the plan.	NA

Substance	Information	and Plan	Objective

Substance Name	PM2.5 - PARTICULATE MATTER <=2.5MICRONS		
CAS#			
Report Date:	30-May-16		
Plan Date:	1-Dec-13		
Plan Objectives and Targets	The facility does not intend to implement a reduction option for particulate matter (PM2.5). Atotech will continue to investigate process efficiencies and continuous improvement efforts through management system objectives, business initatives and production requirements in an effort to reduce the creation of PM2.5 in the future.		

Toxics Substance Accounting and Comparison

Pathways	2014	2015	Delta	Delta %
Units reported	tonnes	tonnes		
U - Enters the Process (Raw Materials)	0	0	0	NA
C - Created	>0 to 1	>0 to 1	>0 to -1	-1%
P - In a product that leaves the process	0	0	0	NA
Summary of reasons for changes between current year and previous year.	Insignificant			

On-site releases from the facility to air, water and land, as well as on and off-site disposal and off-site recycling can be viewed by searching for this facility at http://www.ec.gc.ca/inrp-npri/default.asp?lang=en

Summary of steps taken during the previous calendar year to implement the plan and a summary of the toxics reductions achieved as a result of the steps taken.	NA
Summary of additional actions taken during the previous calendar year that impacted the toxic, and a summary of the steps and toxics reductions achieved as a result of those actions.	NA
Summary of differences between steps taken and those set out in the plan.	NA
Description of amendments to the plan.	NA